(MR. SPEAKER)

aspect also. The conduct of the Hon'ble Member is very objectionable. I do not know whether I should take serious notice of this. I will think over this. I will give an opportunity to the Hon'ble Member to think over his behaviour.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವೆಂಕಟೇಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಅವರು ಮಾಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ನ್ಯಾರ್ಥ ತ್ಯಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಅಥವಾ ದೇಶಾಭಿಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಕಳಂಕ ತರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಕಳಂಕ ಬಂದಹಾಗೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Construction of Pool on Pandava Nala.

Q.—2957 Sri B. G. KHOT (Sadalga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether they intend to construct a Pool or Farshi on (i) Pandava Nala between Mamadapur and Galatga and on (ii) Nanadi Nala on Nippani-Nanadi Road in Chikodi Taluk (Belgaum District)?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

Plans and estimates for these works are being prepared by the District Local Board, Belgaum.

Sri B. G. KHOT.—When will that be finalised?

*Sri T SUBRAMANYA.—Just this minute, I got a telegram saying that the Plans are prepared and the estimates are under progress. The probable cost of the work will be Rs. 50,000.

Sri B. G. KHOT.—When will it be commenced?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—As soon as the District Board is having money; they are prepared to pay one-third of the cost and the rest of the grant will be given by the Government. We may try to accommodate them next year.

Rural Industrialisation Scheme in Mysore State.

Q.—3115. Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—(Nanjangud).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the period since the scheme of Rural Industrialisation is in operation in Mysore State and the number of districts in which it is in operation together with the amount invested, persons employed and the industries started;

(*b*) the total expenditure (i) on establishment, (ii) on equipment and (iii) on training;

(*c*) the profits earned so far?

A.—Smt. LEELAVATHI MAGADI VENKATESH (Deputy Minister for Rural Industries).—

(*a*) The Rural Industrialisation Scheme was introduced in the two Districts of Bangalore and Kolar in 1950-51 and was extended to Mandya District in 1952-53 and to the remaining 7 Districts of old Mysore State in 1954-55. It is now in force in 10 Districts.

A sum of Rs. 67·81 lakhs has been spent by Government towards the implementation of the Scheme.

The Scheme does not contemplate starting of industries by Government. By the assistance provided under the Rural Industrialisation Scheme 37,123 fresh enterprises are reported to have been started or expanded in the 10 Districts of the State since the inception of the Scheme and 78,709 persons have secured employment. These statistics are under scrutiny.

(*b*) (i) Rs. 17·82 lakhs.

(ii) and (iii) No workshops or training centres have been started under the Scheme.

(*c*) Does not arise in view of the reply furnished to clause (*b*) above.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—In answer to (*b*) (ii) and (iii), I do not know why this question is answered like this "No workshops or training centres have been started under the Scheme". What else is the scheme intended for?

*Sri MALI MARIAPPA.—The scheme is intended to give some financial assistance to poor artisans.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is the scheme intended to loan moneys to

people who want money without security?

Sri MALI MARIAPPA.—Many times, it is with security that loans are given.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are Government aware that more than 80 per cent of the loans distributed under the scheme is sheer waste of public funds?

Sri MALI MARIAPPA.—It is the opinion of the Hon'ble Member.

Delay in the Construction of the Corporation Buildings in Bangalore.

Q.—3161. Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) who laid the foundation-stone for the proposed new Corporation buildings in Bangalore and when;

(*b*) the reasons for the delay in commencing the construction work;

(*c*) whether tenders have been called for and accepted;

(*d*) if so, the reasons for not issuing the work order so far;

(*e*) whether the Corporation has not repeatedly resolved to expedite the starting of the construction?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Government).—

(*a*) The Prime Minister of India on 6th October, 1955.

(*b*) The terms and conditions under which the land for the construction of the Corporation office buildings was granted have not yet been accepted by the Corporation.

(*c*) The Corporation has called for tenders and accepted the lowest tender but it has since been cancelled by the Corporation.

(*d*) In view of reply to clause (*c*) does not arise.

(*e*) Yes.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Is it not a fact that only after the land was handed over for the Corporation buildings, the foundation stone was laid?

*Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The entire land was not given possession of.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—In answer to (*c*), the answer is that the tender has been cancelled. May I know the reasons why, if it was cancelled, that fresh tenders were not called for and work undertaken?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The tenders were called for but they were cancelled, because the whole scheme was not finalised. As to wherefrom they would get money to pay Rs. 20 per square yard was not settled and the whole scheme has been kept in abeyance.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Is it not a fact that the Government of India handed over that entire site, free of cost, at the instance of the Corporation?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Not at the instance of the Corporation.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Was it not at the request and the negotiations done by the Corporation with the Centre that the Centre decided to hand over the site?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—They may have also played their part very well.

Action taken regarding the Missing Iron Beams of Vanivilas Bridge in T.-Narasipur.

Q.—3192. Sri T. P. BORIAH (Kollegal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it has come to their notice that some angle irons are missing from the iron frames in the sides of the Vanivilas bridge across the Kapila river in T.-Narasipur;

(*b*) whether they have got them removed or they have been stolen;

(*c*) if they have got them removed, the reasons for doing so;

(*d*) if they have been stolen, the steps they have taken in the matter?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) They have been stolen.

(*c*) Does not arise in view of reply to (*b*) above.